UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,054	10/31/2003	Kazuki Emori	SHO-0038	8377
	7590	EXAMINER		
LION BUILDING			HALL, ARTHUR O	
1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ı	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
N 42 CAL 1	10/697,054	EMORI ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ARTHUR O. HALL	3714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
This application is abandoned in view of:		,			
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on 	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u></u> .			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-			
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). 	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$			
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.				
 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on 					
after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.					
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review			
7. X The reason(s) below:					
/Peter D. Vo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714	/Arthur O Hall/ Examiner, Art Unit 3714				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to			

Application No.

Jeannie Kramer, representative of Attorney Carl Schaukowitch (Reg. No. 29,211) who is applicants representative, indicated in a telephone conversation on 2/20/2009 that no reply has been filed in response to the Final Office Action dated 8/18/2008 because applicant requested that the application be abandoned.